

Exercises  
**Approximation Algorithms**  
 Spring 2010  
 Sheet 4

**Note:** This is just one way, a solution could look like. We do not guarantee correctness. It is your task to find and report mistakes.

**Exercise 1**

Consider again the MINCONGESTION problem, where a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with demand pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  is given and one aims at finding  $s_i$ - $t_i$  paths  $P_i$  that minimize the congestion  $\max_{e \in E} |\{i : e \in P_i\}|$ . Show that the algorithm presented in the lecture gives a  $O(1)$ -approximation (with high probability) if  $k \geq (\log_2 |E|) \cdot |E|$  (and  $s_i \neq t_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).

**Hint:** Which lower bound on the fractional congestion from the linear program do you obtain using the additional assumption  $k \geq (\log_2 |E|) \cdot |E|$ ?

**Solution:**

Let  $(f, C)$  be an optimum fractional solution from the LP from the lecture. Every  $s_i$ - $t_i$  path must use at least one edge, hence  $\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(e) \geq k \geq |E| \cdot \log_2 |E|$ . By the pigeonhole principle there must be an edge  $e^*$  with  $C \geq \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(e^*) \geq \log_2 |E|$ .

Next, fix any edge  $e \in E$ . We again let  $X_i^e \in \{0, 1\}$  be the random variable, saying whether the  $s_i$ - $t_i$  path uses  $e$  and  $X^e := \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^e$  denotes the resulting congestion on  $e$ . We apply the Chernov bound

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[ X^e > \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)}_{=: \delta} + 1 + \underbrace{C}_{\geq E[X^e]} \right] &\leq \left( \frac{e^2}{(1+2)^{1+2}} \right)^{\underbrace{\geq \log_2 |E|}_C} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^{\log_2 |E|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|E|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Pr \left[ \bigvee_{e \in E} (X^e > 3 \cdot C) \right] \leq |E| \cdot \frac{1}{|E|^2} \leq \frac{1}{|E|}$$

In words, we obtain a 3-approximation with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{|E|}$ .

---

## Exercise 2

Suppose we have 3 machines and  $n$  jobs. If we run job  $j$  on machine  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  this takes a *processing time* of  $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . The **MINIMUMMAKESPAN** problem is to find a way to assign the jobs to machines such that the load of the highest loaded machine (the *makespan*) is minimized. Formally

$$OPT = \min_{J_1 \dot{\cup} J_2 \dot{\cup} J_3 = \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \max_{i=1, \dots, 3} \left\{ \sum_{j \in J_i} p_{ij} \right\} \right\}$$

Design an FPTAS for this problem (and prove an approximation guarantee of  $1 + \varepsilon$ ).

**Hint:** Similar to the **KNAPSACK** FPTAS it is a good idea to first round the running times in a suitable way. Then apply dynamic programming.

### Solution:

W.l.o.g. we scale the running times until  $p_{\max} := \max_{j=1, \dots, n} \min_{i=1, \dots, 3} \{p_{ij}\} = n/\varepsilon$ . Clearly  $OPT \geq p_{\max} = n/\varepsilon$ . Furthermore we obtain the bound  $OPT \leq n \cdot p_{\max} = n^2/\varepsilon$  (if we schedule all jobs on its fastest machine).

Let  $p'_{ij} := \lfloor p_{ij} \rfloor$  be rounded running times. Let  $OPT'$  be the value of the optimum makespan for the rounded running times. Note that  $OPT' \leq OPT$ . We claim that we can compute  $OPT'$  in polynomial time by dynamic programming. Consider table entries

$$A(j, b_1, b_2, b_3) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{One can distribute jobs } 1, \dots, j \text{ to the machines s.t.} \\ & \text{the load on machine } i \text{ is at most } b_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \{0, \dots, n \cdot p'_{\max}\}$ . We obtain the base cases  $A(j, b_1, b_2, b_3) = 0$  if  $b_i < 0$  for some  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $A(0, b_1, b_2, b_3) = 1$  for  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \geq 0$ . We compute the remaining entries using the recursion

$$A(j, b_1, b_2, b_3) = A(j-1, b_1 - p_{1j}, b_2, b_3) \vee A(j-1, b_1, b_2 - p_{2j}, b_3) \vee A(j-1, b_1, b_2, b_3 - p_{3j})$$

Then

$$OPT' = \min \{b \in \{0, \dots, n \cdot p_{\max}\} \mid A(n, b, b, b) = 1\}$$

Let  $J_1 \dot{\cup} J_2 \dot{\cup} J_3 = \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the reconstructed solution of value  $OPT'$ . If we use the same solution for the original running times this costs

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, 3} \left\{ \sum_{j \in J_i} p_{ij} \right\} \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, 3} \left\{ \sum_{j \in J_i} (p'_{ij} + 1) \right\} \leq OPT' + n \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot OPT$$

Each table entry can be computed in  $O(1)$ , hence the algorithm needs time  $O(1) \cdot n \cdot (n^2/\varepsilon)^3 = O(n^7/\varepsilon^3)$ .

---