

Convexity

Prof. Friedrich Eisenbrand
Christoph Hunkenschröder

Assignment Sheet 9 - Solutions

December 1, 2016

Exercise 1

The Gamma function is defined as $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty r^{x-1} e^{-r} dr$ for $x > 0$. Prove that

1. $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$.
2. $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for positive integer n .

Solution:

1. We integrate $\Gamma(x+1)$ by parts to get

$$\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty r^x e^{-r} dr = [-r^x e^{-r}]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty (x r^{x-1} e^{-r}) dr = x\Gamma(x)$$

2. Applying (1.) repeatedly gives $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)\Gamma(1) = (n-1)!$, since $\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-r} dr = 1$

Exercise 2

Prove the missing step in the computation of v_n , the volume of the ball B_1^n , from the lecture. Namely show that

$$\int_0^\infty nR^{n-1} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} dR = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)$$

Solution:

Apply change of variables $r = \frac{R^2}{2}$. Then $dr = R dR$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty nR^{n-1} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} dR &= \int_0^\infty n2^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} (R dR) = n2^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-r} dr = \\ &= \frac{n}{2} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 [★]

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex sets. Show the following equality of sets (in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}).

$$\text{conv}(\{0\} \times A \cup \{1\} \times B) = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} [t] \times ((1-t)A + tB).$$

Solution:

Denote the set on the left-hand side by C_1 , the one on the right-hand side by C_2 .

- $C_1 \subseteq C_2$: Let $(t, x) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(0, a_i) + \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j(1, b_j) \in C_1$ be a convex combination with $\forall i \in I : a_i \in A$ and $\forall j \in J : b_j \in B$. Considering the first coordinate, we have $\sum_{j \in J} \beta_j = t$ and hence $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i = (1 - t)$. Moreover, as all coefficients are non-negative, we have $\forall j \in J : \beta_j \leq t$ and $\forall i \in I : \alpha_i \leq (1 - t)$. But then

$$\hat{a} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_i}{1-t} a_i \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b} = \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\beta_j}{t} b_j \in B$$

are convex combinations and $(t, x) = (t, (1-t)\hat{a} + t\hat{b}) \in \{t\} \times ((1-t)A + tB)$, implying $C_1 \subseteq C_2$.

- $C_2 \subseteq C_1$: There is nothing to show, as for $(t, x) \in C_2$, we have $(t, x) = (t, (1-t)a + tb) = (1-t)(0, a) + t(1, b) \in C_1$.

Exercise 4

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a brick set consisting of at least two bricks. Show that there exist a canonic unit vector $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and two bricks $B_1, B_2 \in A$ s.t. $e_i^\top x \leq b$ for all $x \in B_1$ and $e_i^\top x \geq b$ for all $x \in B_2$. That is, show there exists a hyperplane that separates two bricks of A completely.

Solution:

Let $B_1 = \{x : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, d\}$ and $B_2 = \{x : c_i \leq x_i \leq d_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, d\}$ be two bricks in A for some reals with $a_i < b_i, c_j < d_j$ for all i, j . If there is some i such that either $b_i \leq c_i$, then the two bricks can be separated by the hyperplane $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = b_i\}$ and similarly if $d_i \leq a_i$. So suppose this is not the case. Then, for each i , there must be a $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $y_i \in (a_i, b_i) \cap (c_i, d_i)$. But then the point $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ lies in the interiors of both B_1 and B_2 , contradicting the assumption that the bricks have pairwise disjoint interiors.